

REMARKS

Reconsideration of the pending application is respectfully requested on the basis of the following particulars:

Rejection of Claims

In the recent Office Action, the Examiner rejected claims 1 and 5 as anticipated by Homer et al (U.S. 2005/0063145). The Examiner indicated that claims 2-4 and 6-8 would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and of any intervening claims.

The Examiner's indication of allowable subject matter is noted with appreciation. In this regard, Applicant has amended independent claim 1 to incorporate the allowable subject matter of claim 3. Accordingly, claim 3 has been cancelled. It is respectfully submitted that claim 1, having been amended to include the allowable subject matter of claim 3, is now allowable as indicated by the Examiner. Claim 4 has been amended to correct its dependency to depend now from the amended claim 1. It is respectfully submitted that dependent claims 2 and 4, which depend from the amended claim 1, are now allowable.

Additionally, independent claim 5 is amended to include the allowable subject matter of claim 7, and claim 7 has been cancelled. It is respectfully submitted that claim 5, having been amended to include the allowable subject matter of claim 7, is now allowable as indicated by the Examiner.

Claim 8 has been amended to correct its dependency to depend now from the amended independent claim 5. It is respectfully submitted that dependent claims 6 and 8, which depend from the amended claim 5, are now allowable.

Applicant respectfully submits that for at least these reasons, amended independent claim 1 and its corresponding dependent claims 2 and 4, and amended independent claim 5 and its corresponding dependent claims 6 and 8, are allowable over the prior art applied of record, because the prior art applied of record fails to disclose or suggest all of the elements of the claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 6, and 8.

Application No.: 10/750,768  
Examiner: Hung V. Duong  
Art Unit: 2835

Remaining art of record not relied upon to reject any claims

The amended claims have been compared with the remaining art cited by the Examiner and it is respectfully submitted that the claims are patentable over such prior art.

Conclusion

In view of the amendments to the claims, and in further view of the foregoing remarks, it is respectfully submitted that the application is in condition for allowance. Accordingly, it is requested that claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 6, and 8 be allowed and the application be passed to issue.

If any issues remain that may be resolved by a telephone or facsimile communication with the Applicant's attorney, the Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned at the numbers shown.

BACON & THOMAS, PLLC  
625 Slaters Lane, Fourth Floor  
Alexandria, Virginia 22314-1176  
Phone: (703) 683-0500

Date: June 28, 2005

Respectfully submitted,



RICHARD E. FICHTER  
Attorney for Applicant  
Registration No. 26,382